

Caaurit	~£		Danadar	Naturalia	Operation
26CMIIA	OI	CAUCINIUM	Kebealer	NEIWOIK	Coeronon

Rodney Van Meter KEIO UNIVERSITY

10/03/2016 Final Report

DISTRIBUTION A: Distribution approved for public release.

Air Force Research Laboratory

AF Office Of Scientific Research (AFOSR)/ IOA

Arlington, Virginia 22203

Air Force Materiel Command

FORM SF 298 Page 1 of 1

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

					ding the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this	
collection of informa	ation, including sugge	stions for reducing the	burden, to Department of De	efense, Executive Se	rvices, Directorate (0704-0188). Respondents should be aware that collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control	
number. PLEASE DO NOT RE	TURN YOUR FORM TO	THE ABOVE ORGANI	ZATION.			
	E (DD-MM-YYYY)		EPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED (From - To)	
04-10-2016	157171	Fi	nal		29 May 2014 to 28 May 2016	
4. TITLE AND SU		Network Operation	on		5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
	arriori Ropouror					
					5b. GRANT NUMBER FA2386-14-1-4051	
					5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER 61102F	
6. AUTHOR(S) Rodney Van M	leter				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
					5e. TASK NUMBER	
					5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
KEIO UNIVERSIT 5322, ENDO	Υ	N NAME(S) AND A	ADDRESS(ES)		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
FUJISAWA, 252-	-0320 JF					
9. SPONSORING AOARD UNIT 45002	G/MONITORING	AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) AFRL/AFOSR IOA	
APO AP 96338-	-5002				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) AFRL-AFOSR-JP-TR-2016-0079	
	ON/AVAILABILITY I UNLIMITED: PB P				,	
A DISTRIBUTION	ONLIMITED. TOT	Oblic Release				
13. SUPPLEMEN	ITARY NOTES					
host security. It secret random theoretic analy In this project, v themselves. We produced a first	he most famous a numbers for use ysis of the amour we addressed se e wanted to kno st-of-its-kind taxo	such application, as encryption ke at of information t curity and quant w if a single mis-b	of course, is quantum ys (Bennett & Brassarc hat an attacker can g um networks from an a	key distribution l, 1984). Typicall llean from the u entirely different nall number of t	t angle: we investigated the security of the networks hem, can disrupt operation of the network. Our work	
15. SUBJECT TE Quantum Arch						
16. SECURITY C	16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION OF 18. NUMBER				19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON	
a. REPORT	b. ABSTRACT	ABSTRACT C. THIS PAGE ABSTRACT OF		SERNA, MARIO		
Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassified	SAR	5	19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code) 315-227-7007	

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8/98) Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39.18

Security of Quantum Repeater Network Operation Final Report 2016/8/22

Rodney Van Meter

Associate Professor, Faculty of Environment and Information Studies

Keio University, Japan

+81-90-8012-3643

rdv@sfc.keio.ac.jp, rdviii@gmail.com

Abstract/Summary

Much of the work on quantum networks, both entangled and unentangled, has been about the uses of quantum networks to enhance end-host security. The most famous such application, of course, is quantum key distribution (QKD), detecting eavesdroppers and creating shared, secret random numbers for use as encryption keys (Bennett & Brassard, 1984). Typically the study of these applications involves information-theoretic analysis of the amount of information that an attacker can glean from the *use* of the network.

In this project, we addressed security and quantum networks from an entirely different angle: we investigated *the security of the networks themselves*. We wanted to know if a single mis-behaving node, or a small number of them, can disrupt operation of the network.

Our work produced a first-of-its-kind taxonomy of potential attacks on quantum repeater network operations.

Results

First, we examined the set of possible actions that an attacker can deploy against the network, enumerating differences from classical networks. Quantum networks, of course, depend upon successful creation of high-fidelity entanglement at the link level, which requires both good environmental isolation and real-time operation.

It is clear that tapping a fiber makes for an excellent denial of service attack against QKD across that link. What is less clear at the moment is to what extent

larger-scale disruption of the network can be effected through either physical means (e.g., entanglement with in-progress states) or gaming of the control protocols (e.g., via manipulation of the routing protocols to increase latency, affecting real-time operation).

We created a taxonomy of possible attacks on repeater nodes, published in SENT 2015 (Suzuki & Van Meter, 2015). We modeled our taxonomy after security taxonomies for RFID tags, because both RFID tags and quantum links and nodes are sensitive to their local environment, and attacks at the physical level are of importance. We assess points of vulnerability in terms of *confidentiality*, *integrity*, and *availability*.

Our model distinguishes among interface qubits, which are directly coupled to an external optical channel, and buffer and terminal qubits, which reside in repeaters or end respectively and are physically isolated from the optical channel. Evidence from recent experiments has shown that interface qubits, or direct measurement of optical states arriving from the uncontrolled channel, are vulnerable to being manipulated by external parties (Jogenfors, Elhassan, Ahrens, Bourennane, & Larsson, 2015). Tests of entanglement built on quantum tomography, which are crucial to the proper operation of the quantum repeater network, are vulnerable to being hacked. Thus, operation of the quantum repeater network is vulnerable to undetectable disruption of the network operation. This is equivalent to the classical Internet silently corrupting data somewhere along a network path without the benefit of hop-by-hop error detection and correction. End-to-end checks may reveal that the entanglement has not been properly realized, but determining where along the path corruption occurred may be difficult, resulting in a disruption of network operation. Nodes may be unable to successfully reroute traffic, leaving them completely unable to communicate. This represents a new type of vulnerability in network operation, compared to classical networks.

This leads us to the following security recommendation: Security of network operation appears to require that tests of entanglement be done only once data has been moved to qubits physically isolated from the external channel (e.g., done only terminal or buffer qubits). Thus, our recommendation is that specifications for nodes intended to form a future Quantum Internet be required to support two classes of physically distinct qubits inside the

system, in order to allow secure quantum tomography and maintain high availability for the Quantum Internet.

Future Work and Industry Impact

This work has primarily focused on the attacks possible on individual nodes. We look forward to conducting additional work on understanding the behavior of networks, and whether the proportion of network traffic that can be undermined scales more readily in quantum networks than in classical networks.

Our presentation at the SENT workshop attracted the attention of computer and network researchers from Cisco Systems. Over the last eighteen months, we have had continuing conversations with Cisco about the future of quantum repeater networks and the security. Cisco has expressed interest in funding additional work in this area.

References

Bennett, C. H., & Brassard, G. (1984). Quantum cryptography: Public key distribution and coin tossing. *IEEE International Conference on Computers, Systems and Signal Processing* (pp. 175-179). IEEE.

Jogenfors, J., Elhassan, A. M., Ahrens, J., Bourennane, M., & Larsson, J. (2015). Hacking the Bell test using classical light in energy-time entanglement-based quantum key distribution. *Science Advances*, 1 (11).

Suzuki, S., & Van Meter, R. (2015). Classification of Quantum Repeater Attacks. Security of Emerging Network Technologies. San Diego.